

Investigative Follow Up Report

Schiller Park Police Department

1. DATE/TIME OF REPORT:	2. DATE/TIME OF ORIGINAL REPORT:	A PARTY CONTROL PROTOCOLOGICAL CONTROL
30 Oct 06/1530 LORIGINAL OFFENSE OR INCIDENT	5 May 06/0947 S. CLASSIFICATION	06-3219
Computer Tampering	Felony	6. OFFENSE CHANGED TO Same
VICTIMS NAME Save-A-Life Foundation	8 VICTIMS ADDRESS 9950 Lawrence Av. #300 SP.	9. PHONE (HOME) (WORK) 847-928-9683
IO. DEFENDANTS NAME	11. DEFENDANTS ADDRESS	12. PHONE (HOME) (WORK)
Melongo, Annabel K. 3. IF ARREST MADE PHOTO	1218 Long Valley Dr. PRINTS COURT DATE/LOCAL	630-220-4132 Unk
	PRINTS COURT DATE/LOCAT	16. LEADS NUMBER
	18. RADIO OPERATOR	19. DATE AND TIME
21. NARRATIVE Record here all developments in the	MIN CLEARED FAIL TO PROSE case subsequent to the submussion of the last report Describe at affication is changed, explain why indicate clearly disposition of	GCUTE FURTHER ACTION YES NO And decord value of any property recovered, names and arrest numbers of property recovered and show property inventory mumber if in police.
Tampering R/I received copies account. The headers on the enform Ms. Spizzirri's account to	nails showed that the offender ha	to and from Carol Spizzirri's email d forwarded the suspected emails ongo_annabel@yahoo.com. R/I then
a preservation letter to Yahoo a		al Department, who advised R/I to fax no account at the time the fax was
thirty days and all days that foll 15 May 06- R/I spoke with Councessary account information that were forwarded to the Yahthe time of the intrusion to Sav	ow until they receive the subpoemcast Cable Services Legal deparelated to the IP address that was noo account in question and was e-A-Life's computer. R/I was advented the subpoemble of the su	rtment about how to obtain the s found in the header of the emails assigned to the offender's computer a vised that R/I would need to fax them
a preservation letter also and the information to R/I	en a subpoena to follow in order	for Comcast to release any
in reference to this investigation	e, #30, met with Kyle French of to Mr. French advised that he wa ent preservation requests to Yaho	
		he suspect, Ms. Annabel Melongo,
was currently a student at Roos University Mr French felt that	sevelt University and that she had	I an email account issued to her by the end another preservation letter to
		lelongo, 1218 East Long Valley Dr.
17 May 06- R/I and Lt. Schulz	e met with Robert Barnes and V	incent Davis of Save-A-Life
Name / //////	Star 29	Date/Time 30 Oct 06/1530
	Schiller Park Polic 2000 PLAINTIF DEPOSITION	F'S Case 106-3219 Page 1 EXHIBIT C-8-8

R/I then contacted Roosevelt University, R/I spoke with the CIO of the University, who advised R/I that the suspect is a student there and that she does have an email account. amelongo@roosevelt edu. He did state that he could not provide R/I with any other information. about their email system.

R/I then contact Web HSP in Colorado, the web host for SALF's email server. R/I was advised to speak to Mike, the owner of the company. Mike informed R/I that the company should have the IP addresses of any computer accessing his company's servers and that he would send R/I the information collected for the dates of the intrusions

18 May 06- R/I received the fax from Mr. Peters, which was a copy of the recovery overview that he sent to Ms. Spizzirri on 11 May 06. The overview showed the amount of files recovered from the Dell server and described the reasons for the inability to recover any files from the Sony server.

At 1700 hrs. R/I was contacted by Ms. Spizzirri who stated that she was informed about some. unauthorized charges on the company's American Express account. Ms. Spizzirri advised R/I that SALF's bank account and credit card account information was contained on the server prior to the

Star 29

Date/Time 30 Oct 06/1530 Case # 06-3219

Page 2

Schiller Park Police 2000

Schiller Park Police Department Supplementary Case # 05-3219 intrusion. R/I then sent a patrol officer to SALF to take the report from Ms. Spizzirri. Refer to report #06-3714 19 May 06- R/I received a copy of the report made by Ms. Spizzirri on 18 May 06. R/I then contacted American Express Fraud Department. R//I spoke with Bob Curran who stated he would fax R/I a copy of the account activity for the dates of 13 May 06 to 18 May 06 R/I then contacted EBay's Fraud Investigation Team about the charge to the SALF AmEx account. R/I was advised that Ms. Melongo did have an account with them but there have been no purchases over \$14 made on the account. R/I then sent an email to Amazon com's Fraud Department asking for information about the transaction that occurred on 18 May 06 involving SALF's AmEx account. R/I was later faxed a copy. of the transaction record which showed all of the information the offender had to enter in order to complete the transaction, along with the list of items the offender attempted to purchase from Amazon com R/I then attempted to contact all of the merchants listed on the AmEx account activity statement that R/I received from Mr. Curran. R/I was able to reach a customer service representative at Eastbay Inc. The representative, Amanda, advised R/I that she had no record of any transactions involving SALF's account number dating back to 2003. R/I then called and spoke to Tasha at Old Navy's customer research department. Tasha was able to provide R/I with the ship to name and address of Toni Smith, 700 Cynthia Ln., Glendale Heights, IL, and a phone number of 630-369-5489. The bill to name was Carol Spizzirri with a phone number of 847-829-2968. Tasha stated that the offender purchased 13 items that were expedited via Fed Ex and according to Old Navy's records; the package was left at the door for pickup and not signed for. Tasha further stated that four of the item purchased were gift cards that for some unknown reason, were never activated by the shipper and will never be able to be activated. Tasha stated that if R/I needed more information about the transaction, R/I would have to fax a subpoena to the charge back department of Old Navy R/I then contacted United Airlines, but got no answer at their fraud department. R/I then attempted to contact Triple Crown Publishing, Gift Certificates Center, E-Fashion Consultants Bakers Footwear, and Carson Pirie Scott in reference to the fraudulent transactions. R/I was only able to leave messages with these merchants R/I then contacted Ms. Spizzirri and asked if she knew a Toni Smith in Glendale Heights, which she did not, and whether or not she recognized any of the phone numbers that were associated with the fraudulent transactions.

22 May 06- R/I spoke with Susan Bostick of Bakers Shoes about the fraudulent transaction that had occurred online on 17 May 06 at 18:38:05 PDT on their website. Ms. Bostick stated she would fax R/I a copy of the order detail form showing the items purchased along with billing and shipping information. Ms. Bostick also advised R/I that the shipment had not yet been shipped to the customer because her fraud department flagged the order as possibly being fraudulent. R/I then asked for her not to ship the items so that R/I could contact Fed Ex in an attempt to set up a controlled delivery. Ms. Bostick stated that she would have to speak to her superiors before she could authorize the use of the merchandise for the delivery

R/I then called Consumerinfo com's customer service department and spoke with a representative named Rose. Rose advised R/I that the \$1 charge on the SALF AmEx account was a

Star 29

Date/Time 30 Oct 06/1530

Schiller Park Police 2000

Case # 06-3219

Page 3

5 Jun 06- R/I received a response from the subpoenas sent to Comcast. Comcast claimed that their records for the IP address of 24.15.202.102 for the dates and times requested by R/I were incomplete or contained an error associated with the cable modem or other device. Therefore, they could not give R/I any information as to which of their customers had that IP address at the times of the intrusions into SALF's servers. In response to the subpoena for account information for Ms. Melongo at 1218 East Long Valley Dr., Apt. #3A, in Palatine, IL, Comcast stated they have no information responsive to R/I's request. In response to the subpoena asking for account information for IP address of 71.57.72.196 on 17 May 06 at 20:11:42 PDT. Comcast gave R/I the name on the account as Andrea Smith, with and address of 229 S. 14th Ave. Apt. #1, Maywood, IL 60153, a telephone number of 708-369-2968. Along with email addresses of ttspears4@comcast.net and wookie91@comcast net, and a Comcast account number of 8798200010470727.

7 Jun 06- R/I contacted Comcast IP Services about them not having any information for Ms.

Melongo or the listed address for her. R/I was advised that Comcast needed two separate subpoenas in order for them to search just the name and/or just the address. R/I then prepared those subpoenas.

Name //- ///at

Star 29

Date/Time

30 Oct 06/1530

Schiller Park Police 2000

Case # 06-3219

Page 4

	Park Police Department	Supplementary Case # 06-3219
as requested and faxed them to the	State's Attorney's Office s	o that they could go in front of the
Grand Jury on 8 Jun 06		
	#11, went to 229 S. 14th A	ve in Maywood, IL and rang the bell
		ehicles parked in front of the building
R/I later ran those plates but none	came back to Andrea Smit	h. R/I did a check of Andrea Smith
		ad an address of 134 S. 12th St. in
		ck to a cell phone owned by a Betty
Spears.	A Avenue Ass	
	Barnes from SALF, Mr. Ba	rnes stated that the company found an
unauthorized automated clearing h	ouse (ACH) debit on the c	ompany's Chase Bank account monthly \$200 00 on 22 May 06. Mr. Barnes the
faxed R/I a copy of the bank stater	ment showing the debit in a	uestion along with the form sent to hin
by Chase showing the disputed chr	arge R/I contacted Chase I	Bank's Fraud Department in order to
obtain more information about the	transaction R/I spoke to	Cerry Working who advised R/I that she
could not tell for sure whether it w	vas a check by phone transa	action or if the offender actually made
the payment in person at the Como	cast Bill Payment Center. N	Is Working did state that the bank was
P/I then contacted Comcas	et Ouetomer Service. The re	epresentative that R/I spoke with claim
that she too could not give an indi	cation how the bill was nai	d but did state the account that the
payment was applied to was in the	name of Andrea Chase at	229 S 14th Ave in Maywood IL
9 Jun 06- R/I went back to Ms. M	elongo's address in Palatine	e but again got no answer at the door
and there was no vehicle in her ass		
14 Jun 06- R/I and Det Koch wer	nt to 229 S 14th Ave in M	laywood, IL but again got no answer at
the door		
15 Jun 06- R/I and Det Koch wer	nt to the address of 700 Cy	nthia Ln., in Glendale Heights. R/I wro
down several license plates of the	vehicles in the driveway at	that location and parked in front of the
home.		
20 Jun 06- R/I again went to Ms	Melongo's apartment build	ing in an attempt to speak with her, but
again got no answer		
		n the address in Glendale Heights. One
	e Spears at that address. M	Is Spears has an extensive criminal
background		
		erence to the last subpoena request that
	R/I was advised that they no	ever received those documents and
therefore R/I had to resend them		
R/I received the response		rrant. Yahoo's login tracker captured th
R/I received the response IP address for Ms. Melongo's Yah	noo account login at 21:46:	03 GMT on 27 Apr 06 as being
R/I received the response IP address for Ms. Melongo's Yah 24 15 202 102 Each time Ms. Me	noo account login at 21:46; elongo's yahoo account wa	
R/I received the response IP address for Ms. Melongo's Yah 24 15 202 102 Each time Ms. Me at 16:47:23 GMT, it had that spec	noo account login at 21:46: elongo's yahoo account wa: cific IP address.	03 GMT on 27 Apr 06 as being s logged into from that time to 2 May (
R/I received the response IP address for Ms. Melongo's Yah 24 15 202 102 Each time Ms. Me at 16:47:23 GMT, it had that spec Yahoo's account managen	noo account login at 21:46; elongo's yahoo account wa cific IP address. nent tool showed all the inf	03 GMT on 27 Apr 06 as being s logged into from that time to 2 May (cormation pertaining to Ms. Melongo's
R/I received the response IP address for Ms. Melongo's Yah 24 15 202 102 Each time Ms. Me at 16:47:23 GMT, it had that spec Yahoo's account managen	noo account login at 21:46; elongo's yahoo account wa cific IP address. nent tool showed all the inf	03 GMT on 27 Apr 06 as being s logged into from that time to 2 May (
R/I received the response IP address for Ms. Melongo's Yah 24 15 202 102 Each time Ms. Me at 16:47:23 GMT, it had that spec Yahoo's account managen	noo account login at 21:46; elongo's yahoo account wa cific IP address. nent tool showed all the inf	03 GMT on 27 Apr 06 as being s logged into from that time to 2 May (cormation pertaining to Ms. Melongo's

Occurred on 4 May 06 at 22:46:04 PST and the IP address assigned at that time was 24.15.202.102. Also included in the response (on CD-R) was the contents of the account's briefcase and a snapshot of the email accounts contents at the time the preservation letter was received.

One of the files recovered from the Yahoo briefcase was Ms. Melongo's resume, showing her work history up to September of 2005. Another file recovered was a letter that appeared to be written as a response to an investigation into some X-rated emails being sent to a Professor Oguz. The investigation was being performed by a Zauyah Waite. The letter was signed by Annabel, and in it she wrote that she blames Ms. Waite for being bias and personality conflicts for her being accused of sending the emails to the Professor.

R/I contacted Roosevelt University to verify whether or not they had a Professor Oguz on staff R/I was informed that they did not have a Professor by that name. R/I then contacted the University of Missouri-Kansas City, where Ms. Melongo's resume stated she attended from January 2000 to March 2002. R/I spoke with Sgt. Leach at the U of M-KC Campus Police Department. Sgt. Leach advised R/I that the university did have a Professor Oguz and he was somewhat familiar with the investigation R/I had spoke to him about. Sgt. Leach stated that he would fax R/I a copy of the reports for the incident and also have the professor contact R/I for further information.

At approximately 1930 hours, R/I was contacted by Professor Oguz. Professor Oguz remember the incident and claimed that the reason Ms. Melongo was not charged with a crime was because the Campus Police could determine for sure that she had sent the emails because both she and her roommate at the time were both signed in to the University's email server at the exact time that the emails were sent anonymously to the professor.

28 Jun 06- R/I faxed Yahoo's response to the search warrant to Mr. French. Later that day, R/I spoke to Mr. French who stated that he reviewed the information that R/I had sent him with his boss. After reviewing the information, both he and his boss believed that with this new information, there was enough evidence against Ms. Melongo for a search warrant for her home could be issued. Mr. French stated he would send R/I the request for the search warrant by 30 Jun 06 so that R/I could get it signed by a judge on 5 Jul 06. If the warrant was signed, Mr. French would arrange for R/I to be accompanied by Immigration Customs Enforcement and personnel from the Attorney General's Office Computer Forensics Team when serving the warrant on 6 or 7 Jul 06.

5 Jul 06- R/I received the subpoenas signed by the Grand Jury on 29 Jun 06. R/I then faxed them to Comcast requesting account information for the ACH debit transaction.

R/I also had not received the search warrant from Mr. French, so R/I contacted him and left him a message to contact R/I.

10 Jul 06- R/I called Mr. French in reference to the search warrant for Ms. Melongo's apartment. Mr. French stated that his boss reviewed his initial draft and stated that it needed more information in it. R/I then emailed Mr. French a copy of this report for him to refer to for the required information. Mr. French stated that he would attempt to get R/I the search warrant by 14 Jul 06.

12 Jul 06- R/I received a call from Sharma Austin at Comcast Cable. Ms. Austin stated that there records showed the date of the ACH debit was on 21 May 06 and not 22 May as stated in the subpoena she received. R/I explained to her that the Chase Bank statement showed the ACH debit posted to the account on 22 May and that was the reason 22 May was written on the subpoena. Ms.

Name W Mat

Star 29

Date/Time 30 Oct 06/1530

Page 6

Schiller Park Police 2000

Case # 06-3219

Schiller Park Police Department Supplementary Case # 06-3219	
Austin stated she would have to check with her superiors to verify whether or not R/I had to send	d
hem a new subpoena for the correct date or if she could just make the correction on the initial or	ne_
nd then send R/I the response to that initial subpoena.	fu t
R/I then contacted the state's attorney's office and left a message for ASA Northcutt advi	sing
im of the issue with the subpoena for Comcast and R/I's conversation with Ms. Austin.	
	CHINE.
3 Jul 06- R/I received Comcast's response to the subpoena dated 29 Jun 06. Comcast's records	
howed that the account that the ACH Debit was applied to belongs to Andrea Smith with the sa Comcast account number of 8798200010470727.	une .
8 Jul 06- R/I received a voice mail message and an email message from Mr. French. The email	
nessage contained two files, the complaint for the search warrant for Ms. Melongo's apartment a	
he search warrant for the apartment. R/I spoke with Mr. French who arraigned for Illinois Attor	ney_
General Computer Forensic Personnel to accompany R/I when serving the search warrant. R/I entatively set the date for serving the warrant at the apartment for 20 Jul 06.	
19 Jul 06- R/I went to Rolling Meadows courthouse to have the search warrant entered and sign judge. ASA Jim Pontrelli reviewed the warrant documents and approved them. R/I then went h	
Sudge Etchingham, who also reviewed the complaint and search warrant. After doing so, Judge Etchingham signed the search warrant allowing R/I to search Ms. Melongo's apartment and seize evidence relating to this crime along with her computer and any other computer equipment. R/I then notified Shahna Monge of the computer crime task force for the Illinois Attornet.	
General's Office (IAG), that the warrant had been signed by the judge. Ms. Monge then made	y
praignments with IAG personnel to meet R/I at Palatine P.D. at 0800 hours on 20 Jul in order to	^
serve the warrant R/I then contacted Cook County Sheriffs Office to notify them of the warrant	
service in order to have them participate in the warrant service if they so desired	
20 Jul 06- R/I, Det Koch #11, Ms. Monge and her coworker Amber Haggani, went to Ms.	
Melongo's address in Palatine in an attempt to serve the search warrant. After several minutes on	1
scene, Ms. Melongo drove up to the building. R/I immediately identified himself and had Ms.	
Melongo exit her vehicle and allow R/I and the others access to her apartment. R/I had Ms. Melongo	ongo
sit in the kitchen and read her copy of the search warrant as Det. Koch monitored her. R/I along	1000000
Ms. Monge and Ms. Haqqani, search the apartment for items mentioned in the warrant. After extensive searches of the entire apartment, all items of evidentiary value were seized (refer to Sciential).	hille
Park Police Department evidence sheets for a list of items removed from the apartment).	
At 1015 hours, R/I read Ms. Melongo her Miranda rights from the voluntary statement form and	
ner initial said form. Ms. Melongo agreed to speak to R/I at that time, without the presence of ar	
attorney Ms Melongo stated the following in summary but not verbatim. Ms. Melongo stated the	
she went to SALF on Monday, April 27th 2006, to pick up her pay check. While there, she over	
that the company was having a computer problem and offered to help. Ms. Spizzirri then accused	
Melongo of causing the problem. Ms. Melongo denied the allegations and stated that she only of	tere
o help because her replacement was not as qualified as Ms. Melongo was with computers. Ms.	
Melongo stated that while employed at SALF she held the job titles of system administrator, wel-	
designer, and programmer. Ms. Melongo also stated that because of her job duties she had acces	
all passwords for all SALF employees, access to the web server, and all of the company's passwo	ords.

Name (1)- Mlu L

Star 29

Date/Time 30 Oct 06/1530

Schiller Park Police 2000

Case # 06-3219

Page 7

she had no contact with him since she had been fired

After R/I concluded the interview, R/I advised Ms. Melongo that she was not under arrest at that time and that R/I would be in contact with her after all of the evidence had been processed. All of the evidence that had been seized was brought back to SPPD where it was inventoried and placed in the evidence locker for safe keeping

21 Jul 06- R/I along with Det. Koch #11, collected the electronic/computer evidence and brought it to 188 E. Randolph, Chicago, IL., The Regional Computer Forensics Lab, to be processed by a certified computer evidence recovery technician. R/I's met with Ms. Monge and Ms. Haqqani there

Star 29

Date/Time

30 Oct 06/1530

Schiller Park Police 2000

Case # 06-3219

Page 8

	ark Police Departmen		
and released the items to Ms. Monge, so that she could process the items for any evidence relating this incident. R/I had her sign the Schiller Park Property Inventory Control Sheet showing the chain of custody of the evidence.			
submitted was complete and the report Koch went to the RCFL in Chicago a evidence back to SPPD where it was R/I then made a copy the CD she provided R/I. The summaremotely, had a Comcast IP address a email account and password, emails a individuals at SALF, a word docume	ort and the items were and recovered the item returned to the evider of Ms. Monge's coverary showed that Ms. Not 24.15.202.102 on 200 her Roosevelt Uniont containing the name	letter and forensic report summary from	
coordinated a meeting between himse	elf, ASA Biestek, and	I's Office, Mr. French advised that he has R/I, so that ASA Biestek could review all to charge Ms. Melongo with a felony in	
case. After reviewing all of the event Computer Tampering against Ms. Minto other charges that could be filed Ms. Melongo, which were reviewed attempt to make contact with Ms. M. her, ASA Biestek asked to be notified sworn out against Ms. Melongo on 3 R/I and Det. Koch then went to Ms. there. R/I's did however speak to her recently informed him that she was me three months behind on her rent. Mr. job at a place called Children's World were unsuccessful. R/I then contacted advised R/I to bring Ms. Spizzirri to	s related to this case, elongo. ASA Biestek in this case (i.e. eaves for accuracy by ASA elongo some time tod d and he would make 1 Oct 06. Melongo's apartment landlord, Chin, Bing noving out at the end of Chin also stated that Lin Barrington. R/I's ad ASA Biestek and intourt on 31 Oct 06 in at issued for Ms. Melongo as related to the court on 31 Oct 06 in the court of the court	ay. If R/I is unable to make contact with arraignments to have an arrest warrant but were unable to make contact with her C., who stated that Ms. Melongo had of the month and that she was currently he knew that Ms. Melongo had a part-time ttempted to locate Children's World, but formed him of R/I's results. ASA Biestek order for Ms. Spizzirri to sign the ngo. R/I then contacted Ms. Spizzirri and	
Name 113-1115	Star 29	Date/Time 30 Oct 06/1530	

Schiller Park Police 2000

Case # 06-3219 Page 9 SCHILLERPARK0017